



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/579,738	05/26/2000	Daniel A. Vallera	11983-004001	1069

26191 7590 03/12/2003

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
3300 DAIN RASCHER PLAZA  
60 SOUTH SIXTH STREET  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

LI, QIAN J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1632

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003

17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/579,738	VALLERA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Q. Janice Li	1632	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Q. Janice Li, PTO. (3) Stuart Macphail, Appl. Rep..  
 (2) Anne Marie Wehbe, PTO. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 March 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-34 and 36-43.

Identification of prior art discussed: Chen et al, Chen et al, Chan et al, Yang et al.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 Examiner's signature, if required

d Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Macphail proposed to narrow the claimed targeting cells to tumor specific CTL for treating tumor cells to overcome the enablement rejection under 112, 1st paragraph. With regard to the rejection under section 103, Mr. Macphail indicated that the references did not teach a tumor specific CTL, and applicants did not intend to claim an antibody as a targeting domain. The Examiners indicated that the discussion and amendments would help to advance the prosecution.